

#2644

Daniel J. Nadler, M.D.
Jennifer R. Olbum, D.O.
Amanda L. Cook, M.D.

RECEIVED

2007 NOV 29 AM 8:48

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

EYE PHYSICIANS
AND SURGEONS

November 20, 2007

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
IRRC
14th Floor – 333 Market Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli:

The Pennsylvania Osteopathic Medical Association (POMA) has reviewed the proposed changes to the State Board of Osteopathic Medicine's provisions regarding prescriptive privileges for physician assistants, and we have reservations regarding the proposal.

While the Pennsylvania Osteopathic Medical Association (POMA) understands and appreciates the role of the PA in the total health care team approach to patient care, we have significant concerns about the proposed regulation allowing for expansion of the prescribing of Schedule II narcotics to a 30-day period. In today's environment of continually rising abuse and diversion of narcotics, and the economic and social impact created by this problem, it is our belief that provision of further distribution of Schedule II narcotics without the direct involvement of the supervising physician is aimed more at maintaining economic status without increasing the workload on the supervising physician than patient care. This certainly does not appear to be a valid justification, and certainly does not appear to benefit the patient in the long run if the patient requires Schedule II narcotics beyond the current 72 hour period.

The regulations state: "A physician assistant may write a prescription for a Schedule II controlled substance for up to a 30-day supply if the patient was examined at the time of renewal and the patient's ongoing therapy was reviewed and approved by the supervising physician prior to the writing of the renewal."

We disagree with the wording of the regulations because there is no assurance of validation that the physician has indeed examined and evaluated the patient unless the physician personally prescribes the medication. This would only take a few more seconds of time and documentation would be substantiated.

The POMA also opposes the proposal that "A physician assistant may request, receive, and sign for professional samples and may distribute professional samples to patient." We do not feel a physician assistant should be able to request, receive, sign for or distribute professional samples, and that this should be the responsibility of the physician.


Edgeworth Square
Suite 102
111 Hazel Lane
Sewickley, PA 15143
412 741-5577
412 741-1141 Fax

Suite 202
1200 Sharon Road
Beaver, PA 15009
724 774-5920
724 774-7656 Fax

Page 2

Thank you for the opportunity to express our concerns regarding the SBOM's proposed changes. Your attention to these matters is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jennifer R. Olbum". The signature is fluid and somewhat abstract, with several loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Jennifer R. Olbum, D.O.

CC Charles P. Fasano, D.O., Chairman, State Board of Osteopathic Medicine
P. Michael Sturla, Chairman, House Committee on Professional Licensure
POMA Board of Trustees
Beth Sender Michlovitz, counsel, SBOM at SBOM, 2601 North Third St.,
PO Box 2649, Harrisburg, PA 17105-2649